	ed States Patent a	nd Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM	L.W.
			United States Patent and T: Address: COMMISSIONER OF P Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,371	02/07/2001	Cornelia Sprengard-Eichel	8369Q	6846
27752 7	04/07/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			EXAMINER	
			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761 DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RF

;

	Application No	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/778,371	SPRENGARD-EICH	EL ET AL.
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michele Kidwell	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	ress
HE REPLY FILED 27 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment	application. A proper reply t which places the application	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	ailing date of the final rejection.		
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Te have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date 	ire later than SIX MONTHS from th VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH The date on which the petition under od of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period f	e mailing date of the final rejecti S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appr ing amount of the fee. The appr or reply originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C nely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 . A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar	37 CFR 1.704(b).		ction, even ir
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) 🔲 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canc	celing a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		n considered but does NO	T place the
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) // //	
0. Other:	· · · · · ·		m
		WEILUN	LO
		SUPERVISORY PATE	NT EXAMINER
		TECHNOLOGY CE	nien 3/00

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the addition of the limitation requiring a change in a mechanical property of a component of the article other than the thermal cell actuator in conjunction with the previously claimed limitations will require further searching and consideration .